

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO	э.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/723,934 11/25/2003		11/25/2003	Rita Bitzer	10537/171A	9401	
26646	7590	07/05/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
KENYO!	N & KENT	YON	GREEN, ANTHONY J			
NEW YORK, NY 10004				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,				1755		
				DATE MAILED: 07/05/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	~ [~] L)					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/723,934	BITZER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Anthony J. Green	1755				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replection of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be a sly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) do will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro e, cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 M	May 2005.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 2-10,12-17 and 27-31 is/are pending	in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>28-30</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-10, 12-17, 27 and 31</u> is/are rejecte	d.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers		•				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc		•				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		` '				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
The path or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	4a hawa (cana wasa turu)					
1. Certified copies of the priority documen2. Certified copies of the priority documen		tion No				
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 						
application from the International Burea		red in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		red.				
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar	v (PTO-413)				
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail [Date				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)	Patent Application (PTO-152)				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office						
PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office A	ction Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 62705				

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

This office action is in response to the amendment submitted on 12 May 2005.
 Claims 1 and 11 have been canceled and new claims 27-31 added.

Election/Restrictions

2. Newly submitted claims 28-30 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: These claims are directed to a brake device whereas the previous claims were directed to a corrosion protective coating composition.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 28-30 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Specification

3. The amendment filed 12 May 2005 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132(a) because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132(a) states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: The last 2 paragraphs added into the specification appears to be new matter as the specification

Art Unit: 1755

as originally filed does not appear to teach "that is configured to fill one of a pore and a score of average size on a braking surface of the brake device upon abrading the corrosion protective coating by a brake lining during breaking".

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

5. Claim 31 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventors, at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The limitations in this claim are not supported by the specification as originally filed.

6. Claims 2-10, 12-17 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claims contain subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Applicant provides no guidance as to the grain size encompassed by the limitation of "substantially equal to at least one of a maximum roughness, an average pore diameter and an average size of score marks".

- 7. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 8. Claims 2-10, 12-17 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In the independent claims it is unclear as to the grain size encompassed by the phrase "substantially equal to at least one of a maximum roughness, an average pore diameter and an average size of score marks".

In claims 12-17 and 31 the term "coating" is indefinite and misdescriptive. See Ex parte Scott 66 USPQ 371. The claims should recite "coating composition" which will overcome this specific rejection. (this rejection was previously made with respect to claim 11).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anthony J. Green whose telephone number is 571-272-1367. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jerry Lorengo can be reached on 571-272-1233. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1755

ajg June 27, 2005